

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/544,112	08/02/2005	Takanori Miyoshi	Q88453	9429
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 05/20/200 ION PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			CHRISS, JENNIFER A	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
······································	1,002007	1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/544,112	MIYOSHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JENNIFER A. CHRISS	1794		

	JENNIFER A. CHRISS	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 27 CER 44 27 must be 4	Slad within two worth	a of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c)   ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ol>		imalı filad amandına	at concelling the
non-allowable claim(s).	owable ii subiliitied iii a separate, t	intery med amendmen	it canceling the
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Anneal will no	he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See continuation sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			
	/Ula C Ruddock/		
/J.A.C./	Primary Examiner Art II	nit 1794	

Patent Examiner, AU 1794 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

11. Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. According to Hobbs (2002/0192449 A1), the porous fiber may be formed from blends of polylactic acid, Applicant's "hydrophobic solvent-soluble polymer" and other aliphatic polyesters (page 3, [0034]). Hobbs teaches that aliphatic polyesters include those home and copolymers such as one or more of a diol such as ethylene glycol, 1,2-propanediol, 1,3-butanediol and diethylene glycol (Hobbs, page 2, [0024 - 0026] and page 3, [0034]). According to Applicant's specification, these organic compounds meet Applicant's organic compound requirements as they inherently possess a low number average molecular weight as claimed by Applicant. The rejection is maintained.